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ABSTRACT: Due to human beings’ aesthetic sense, they have always been engaged in embellishing their living environment throughout the history. Given the different culture, customs, beliefs and even varied policy of each society, the applied arts or decorative styles have constantly been evolved. The Qajar architecture was influenced by Russian and central Europe styles, and by combining them with the Iranian traditional architecture a unique style in its kind was born. Many palaces and buildings were constructed particularly, during Naser-al din shah era which were tried to present some unique and combined views of their owners power and greatness. Although Masudieh complex and Qavam al-saltaneh building were built during two different architectural periods, clearly show the particularities of the Qajarid architecture. So selection and comparison of these buildings will manifest ornamental arts changes during end of Qajarid era. The paper is trying find any similarities and dissimilarities between these decorative elements and to provide required answers to the questions being raised with regards to the specialties and styles of decorative components of the two buildings, by using collected data through library research, dissertations, documents and field studies an analytical and descriptive method has been applied in this respect. Anyhow, the available documents show that no comparative studies have been made so far in the field and only some hints are given concerning the history of Qavam building and Masudieh complex. Although the two buildings were built in two different periods of time they follow a similar style.
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INTRODUCTION

Showing off power and dominance under the influence of the 18th and 19th European kings were at the apex in Qajar period. Qajar kings – especially Naser-al din shah – made attempts to retrieve the powerful Achaemanian (550-330BD) dynasty by means of a variety of ornaments and luxuries and building splendid houses and palaces. Mas’udieh complex1 and Qavam al-Saltaneh building2 are built in two different architectural periods. Mas’udieh complex constructed in late Naser-al din government (1848-1896 AD) by Zel-ol sultan and Qavam building was built in Ahmad Shah Qajar (1909-1925 AD) by Qavam al-Saltana. Mas’udieh complex Iranian architecture style has replaced with many western ornamental components. Yet, Qavam al-Saltaneh building has also shown western architecture qualities at the same time and maintaining some Iranian architecture principles.

Hence, the main issue of the study is to identify ornamental components inside Mas’udieh and Qavam al-Saltaneh. Each of the two constructions is built based on
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A Brief Description of Mas’udieh Complex and Qavam Al-Saltaneh Building

Mas’ud Mirza the first son of Naser-al din shah called as Zel-ol Sultan was one of the most tyrant rulers of Qajarid dynasty. Due to missing the crown prince position, he tried to gain power and status. Khosrow Mu’tazed believes: “when visiting Lord Kurzon, the prince has considered UK as the center of world civilization and stated that he is interested in this country culture and civilization” (Mu’tazed, 2003, p.142). The living place of such an individual must deserve his character. Although he was the ruler of Isfahan and southern Iran region, his building was the most well-known in Tehran and considered to be the second most beautiful construction of Qajar period after Gulestan palace. The complex which is located in Ekbatan Street, in southwest side of Baharestan Square, in 1873AD was purchased by Zel-ol Sultan. All transcripts seen on current Mas’udieh construction show the history of 1878AD (Mohammadi, 2002, p.104). Yahya Zaka in his book also ascribes the construction of the building to the same year and described this as: “it was located on west side of Nezamieh Garden”. The main building which is still erected includes several halls and rooms considered to be Naser period beautiful constructions in terms of ornamentation (Zaka, 1990, p. 207). Also, in his book “al-Muasir va-al-Asar”, E’temad ul-Saltaneh has considered the construction as unique in terms of architectural accuracy, fineness and details (Ibid). But the noteworthy point is the important element of extraversion which has well integrated this with other Qajarid and European qualities and created a unique complex. Mas’udieh complex is among the first houses displaying European qualities and created a unique complex. In the meantime, two powerful trends (Baroque & Rococo) have critical share in the appearance of these similarities and differences. The aim is to find the similarities and differences and to study probable effects from two powerful inspiration sources like Russian and European architecture. Here, it is presumed that Qavam al-Saltaneh building was built under the direct influence of Russian art and Mas’udieh complex built by the order of Zel-ol Sultan was under direct influence of European art. Two Baroque and Rococo trends were at their apex in these countries having great influence on two constructions. The most important questions which would be answered in this study:

1. Which qualities of ornamental arts are evident in the Mas’udieh complex and Qavam al-Saltaneh building?
2. Which decorative styles influenced these building structures?

Selection and comparison of these buildings will manifest ornamental arts changes during the end of Qajarid era. Based on the evidences and documents existing so far, no comparative studies were done and only some short implications are mentioned regarding the history of Qavam building and Mas’udieh complex. Of course, in articles published about the two constructions, the effect of European features on their ornamental components is implied many times. Trends like classical and neoclassical are also seen, but in this study two styles of Baroque and Rococo are considered due to their proximity to Qajar art and the effects of other styles are not denied. Accordingly, it is necessary to conduct the study in comparative form to better examine the interior ornamental components and two Baroque and Rococo styles are examined as the main branch of influences on the components. The analytical-descriptive method was conducted via data collection through books, articles, thesis, documents and field studies related to two constructions.

Like Zel al-Sultan, Ahmad Qavam – the minister of the last Qajar king (Ahmad Shah, 1909-1925AD) – was one of the most ambitious persons in Naserid period and had the ambition of power in the period of Mozafar-al din Shah and Ahmad Shah. As a noble man at age 12-13, he was at Naser-al din Shah Service. After he shah’s assignation, he undertook the responsibility of the king special office as minister and parliamentary order was written by his beautiful pen. Governor of Khorasan and Sistan provinces’ and chancellor of Ahmad shah period are of his other honors (Haqiqi, 2010, p. 37). The luxurism of late Qajar period led to the emergence of one of the beautiful houses in Tehran in Seye-Tir Street which was known as Qavam Street. In her article “Iran Mirror and Pottery Treasure Museum”, Maryam Sarshar believes that the architect of the construction is Haj Hasan Qaffari (well-known Iranian Architect) who built it based on a French construction during the last five years of Qajar period, the plan was brought to Iran by Ahmad Qavam (Sarshar, 2011, p.145). But other individuals including Akbar Dabestani Rafsanjani believe that Iranian architectural elements in the building show the influence of Russian architecture and Rococo style (Dabestani Rafsanjani, 2010, p.35). Farzaneh Qaiini
ex manager of Mirror Museum – also believes that wooden steps connecting the corridor of the first floor to upstairs has fully Russian quality. It has to be emphasized that, before approving or rejecting any claims, it must be added that the construction is built around 1913AD and great changes were made since construction.

In 1953AD, the building was sold to the Egyptian embassy and changes were made in enamel, crystal and basement of the museum which were completely western style (Sarshar, 2011, p.147). In 1976 AD, it was transformed into museum by three groups of Iranian, German and Austrian engineers under the supervision of Hans Howanline and no changes were made in the building ornaments including the woodworks of doors and steps, facade, trimming and mirror working. In 1983AD some trimmings were made in the edge of top museum corridor in the form of lines, painting and toranj-shaped mirror work with geometric designs. Despite the changes, Qavam building is built that traveling to Europe was ordinary and Iran architecture had changed greatly. Educated architects and engineers of Iran were present at Europe universities and supervisor engineers also involved in building the construction. The ornaments of the construction facade which got started in the period of eclectic period by Mas’udieh were integrated with Iranian traditional architecture in the period and found a different look in Qavam building which seems to have Russian qualities.

**Introducing Components**

The numbers of ornamental components in Mas’udieh Complex and Qavam al-Saltana building are nearly the same. Plan, composition, trimming, mirror working and woodworking are among the components existing in both buildings. Designs and the method of execution of the ornaments are completely different. However, specific architectural elements like ornaments of windows, sloped roofs and exaggeration in ornaments in Baroque style are seen in these constructions. “If the ornamental designs of Mas’udieh complex are examined – except the ornaments of the wainscots stones of Divankhane northern view, the designs of wedge tiles, paneling, and muqarnas of the pavement façade which is geometric – the extent of Islamic designs and figurative paintings are superior to the others” (Zarine, 2005, p. 180). Among the qualities of design in the ornaments of the complex, symmetrical, repetitions in plant, geometric and animal motifs (Ibid) are visible.

Regarding the appearance of Qavam building, it can be recognized that its general style is a combination of Iranian traditional architecture and European architecture values like many other buildings of the Qajar period. But, what do the effects look like? ; And from where and by which architectural styles have they penetrated into the construction? As it was implied before, there are many different opinions about it. By examining the components and comparing them to the architecture of the periods before Mas’udieh complex, their true identity will be uncovered.

**Facade, Plan, and Composition**

In Tehran map drawn by ‘Abdolqaffar in 1891AD, Mas’udieh complex is located in a land with an area 40,000m² (Zarine, 2005, p. 174). But only the garden of western part of the complex still remains, however, it is also close to full erosion due to lack of desirable use (Ibid, p. 175). Buildings are identified respectively as: Divankhane, Sofrekhane and Hozekhane, Sayyid Javady yard, Moshirolmolki yard, backyard, wain façade construction, Divankhane Garden and yard (Fig. 1). All these titles are designated by research group and perhaps they were called something else at the time of Zel-ol Sultan. Designating Sofrekhane and Hozekhane constructions also exists in Golestan palace near Salam and Mirror saloons (Pajuhesh, 2005, p. 21). In tables 1, components are explained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Facade and ornaments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mas’udieh Complex</strong></td>
<td>Stretched rectangle in Baroque style</td>
<td>Complex of constructions with garden in the yard</td>
<td>Great attention to the appearance of the building by trimming in imitating European buildings – Baroque and Neo-Classical styles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qavam building</strong></td>
<td>Square and central circle imitating European Rococo</td>
<td>Two inside and outside constructions with garden in Iranian style</td>
<td>Bricklaying ornamentations based on Russian constructions in Baroque style</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Baroque and Rococo in Interior Decoration

Constructed in an area 7,000m², Qavam building has maintained its interior and exterior parts just like other Qajar houses. The entrance of outside construction is toward Seye-Tir Street with two floors and a basement consisting of two corridors and six saloons entering the second floor by a horseshoe stairs. As seen in Fig. 2, the inside construction is located behind the main building. “In the basement, there is a Hozekhane the walls of which are ornamented by convex tiles made in Tehran and belonging to late Qajar period with designs from Persian epic stories. The inside is also created for the private life of Qavam and his family with less ornamentation than the outside construction. Presently the construction is under the authority of Farabi Film Foundation.” (Sarshar, 2011, p. 146).

Fig. 1. Mas’udieh complex’s plan
(Khorshid constraction, 2011)

Fig. 2. Qavam building’s plan
(Mousavi, 2002, p. 15)

Based on the plan of two complexes (Fig. 1,2), we conclude that Mas’udieh complex and in particular the main construction (Divankhane and Sofrehkhane) have stretched in width due to the influence of Baroque architecture including Versailles Palace in France. Composition of the complex is mixed with Iranian and European elements and the rectangle shape of saloons and rooms are met based on Baroque architecture at the same time of separating the inside from outside. But the plan of Qavam building is completely different from Mas’udieh constructions. The circle saloon and arrangements of the rooms are affected by a special source which is unique in Iran architecture. Circular plan is the characteristic of most European constructions before Baroque. The appearance of Qavam building is an attempt to maintain the traditional soul of Iranian architecture. Using a variety of ornaments, the architect has created a creative composition in the structure view with particular qualities which besides having the qualities of Ilkhani (1256-1335 AD) bricklaying is affected by Baroque period buildings and especially Russian. The bricklaying style of construction and shape of windows of the Saint Petersburg theatre which is a combination of rectangle and altar with top triangle ornamentation reminds Qavam building without considering the construction rectangle plan. The building is made in 19th century before Qavam building and considered among the last constructions of Baroque period in Russia.

Another important point seen in the composition of the two constructions is the entrance corridor. As implied about Qavam building, the main plan is circular and a round corridor connects hall of the first floor to the second floor (Fig. 3). In Mas’udieh Divankhane construction, when entering the construction we face a bilateral staircase (Fig. 4) which if we follow its evolution trend in Qajar architecture, we will reach Qavam building. This stairs style is an integral part of the composition of palaces such as Golestan (entrance hall) and Sahebqaranieh11.
The bilateral staircase was first used by Achaemenian in Persepolis construction. In next periods, this composition style was not used in Iranian architecture but applied many times in European styles especially Baroque and Neo-Classical ones inspired by Persepolis. The entrance stairs to Bordo theatre (Fig. 5), Paris opera (Fig. 6), and Armitage in Russia (Fig. 7) is exactly the same as the image of Mas'udieh Divankhane and Qavam building (Fig. 3). Regarding the utilization of European styles by Russian architecture especially Baroque, Iranian architects may have seen postcards or heard the description of people who had seen those constructions closely, by adding the desirable qualities to Iranian architecture, as European styles have changes and evolved, Iranian styles have also changed.

Trimming

Constructions of Mas'udieh complex have ornaments a great part of which include trimming. Trimmings are demonstrated in form of structural ornaments in/outside, at capitals, the chokes of wooden ceilings, muqarnases and or in the form of non-structural ornaments (Zarine, 2001, p. 178). The presence of geometric shapes in muqarnases shows the enormity of the constructions and the vertical corners and angels are transformed into curved corners. Most part of the inner ornaments are of semi-bold trimmings, which applies the important aesthetic principle in designs, that is, suitability of the components (Ibid, 180). In Mas'udieh Moshirolmaleki construction basement, trimmings show off. The mid room fire place trimming of the basement is larger and more laborious than harelip rooms.
Fig. 8. The Ornaments of Mas’udieh’s Divankhane’s Fire

“Paneling of the designs in these rooms is coordinated with architectural forms and elements. Inside the paneling, flower pots with fruit earrings like grapes and grape leaf are seen. Flowers, flower pot, grape leaf, ornamental plants and a design known as celery beside geometric lines remind of pier base and ornamental piers” (Khorshid construction, 2011, p. 44). In Divankhane construction, there is another fire place with fewer ornaments than the Mushirolmaleki one. Designs of Mushirolmaleki construction fire place are mostly similar to Iranian genuine designs but western flowers are seen more in Mas’udieh fire places (Fig. 8). Qavam building fire places also have trimmings imitated from European styles (Fig. 9).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trimming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mas’udieh Complex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In exterior view of all constructions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the choke of the main saloon, edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of fire places and basement of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushirolmaleki construction, some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trimmings are worked. Evident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>influence of Baroque and Rococo in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trimmings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qavam Building</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divided into three periods. Parts of it are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probably worked in Qavam period and executed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by western style.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The influence of Rococo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two pot designs behind ornamental capitals at two sides of the fire places are only Qajarid traditional ornaments also ornamented by western flowers. However, the difference between Qavam building and Mas’udieh trimmings is the use of human and animal designs showing off at Qavam building ornamental capitals at two sides of the fire places. The boldness of Qavam building fire places are demonstrated as the statue face is another important difference. In tables (2), buildings trimmings are compared.

Ceiling ornaments and other trimmings Mas’udieh Mushirolmaleki building room are described as follow: «ceiling of the main saloon is a wicker design reaching the surface of the walls by trimmed choke with plant designs and grape earrings. Form of the shelves, windows
and doors’ arches are also emphasized by plant leaves and bunches of flowers. Bases are framed and they are ornamented by plant designs internally. Beside the whole plant designs, the design trimmed in wainscots is a geometric one (Khorshid construction, 2011, p. 45). If we pay attention to the details of designs, we will see the influence of geometric designs and western flowers in it. «The choke of corridor saloon at Divankhane construction is recurring and designing all the way around the saloon. The designs are completely taken from western designs and their multilayer boldness has given it a special beauty and quality. These designs are a composition of natural flowers and geometric lines which has a divergence recurring along the choke depending on the situation some changes are made at the corners of design in order to coordinated with other parts of the choke (Khorshid construction, 2011, p.77). In western saloon of Divankhane construction some trimmings are executed. The trimmings are also recurring motifs repeated longitudinally with a combination of curved and plant geometric designs. The interesting point is in the painting of both trimmings (Fig. 10). In tables (3), painting components are described.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Painting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mas’udieh Complex</td>
<td>Western designs were on lambekubi of Sayyid Javadi construction. The corridor of Divankhane construction has also a combination of Iranian-western painting on trim lining. Painting on Divankhane and Sayyid Javadi choke trimmings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qavam Building</td>
<td>There is no other painted surface except the painted area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 4, some trimmings have also remained from Qavam period (1896-1925AD). Due to the fact that we have no valid evidence on how and when the changes are made, we cannot exactly determine which part of the trimmings belongs to Qavam period. But parts of the first floor rooms’ space can be ascribed to Qavam period. The ceiling of the rooms is also divided using fine trimmings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Type of ornaments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>1990s (1300 S) (Qavam al-Saltaneh)</td>
<td>Russian-Baroque</td>
<td>Corridors and parts of saloons</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>1953-1960 (Egypt embassy)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>Museum enameled, crystal saloons and basement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>1983 (IRI)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Top museum corridor</td>
<td>Penmanship-painting or combination of both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Choke Trimmings of Syyid Javadi and Divankhane Buildings

In Qavam building, no painted trimmings are seen, yet only some gray colored parts are observed. But as implied before, some changes were made in Qavam building specially in their trimmings. In Table 4, we can see the categorizations of these changes.
It's obvious that, the categorizations are similar to trimmings of Baroque style (Fig. 11). There is a difference between the categorization of Mas‘udieh constructions ceiling in selecting type; that is, in Mas‘udieh – except Mushir Mulki basement – the entire work is done by wood. But the ceiling of the basement also does not have the detailed ornaments of Qavam building ceiling ornaments. The designs inside these squared spaces do not have the fluidity character of Iranian traditional ornaments and look more like geometric designs. Framed trimmings of the first floor rooms’ walls are of the other trimmings of the first floor rooms’ walls are of the other trimmings. If the trimmings are compared to the images of Mas‘udieh complex trimmings, visible difference can be seen between them. Using human and animal designs chosen really bolder and in the form of statue as well as the designs fineness in Qavam building trimmings is also interesting.

The trimming designs of Qavam building choke are also different from Mas‘udieh and have further fineness. But western flowers patterns are common between the two. It can be concluded that with the advent of west-orientedness in the ornaments of Qajar architecture in the second period, they are executed in more exaggeration by artist architects yet in some parts the artist himself also intervened. But in the fourth period the ornaments were done with further fineness in some parts, and the artists paid attention to forms and spaces coordination, as well.

**Mirror Ornamentations**

The integration between trimming and mirrors imported from Belgium and France in Naseri period were turned into one of the most popular ornaments in interior space (Pajuhesh, 2005, P. 95). Mirror working of the mirror saloon and bottom of Mas‘udieh complex entrance corridor choke are the only mirror worked parts. “Cornices strip has two top and bottom margins located in a cameo octagon frame and there is a roundel with trimming located between cornice frame” (Khorshid Construction, 2012, P. 85). Under the ceiling of the main saloon in Divankhane, there is a detailed mirror work with trimming ornaments considered to be of the most beautiful mirror works of Tehran (Fig. 12). Presently, with
the aim of preserving ceiling damages from fire, a part of ceiling was covered by means of protective structure. Mirror working also exists at Qavam building; but based on present documents, it was executed in the next periods and cannot be regarded as Qajarid ornaments. Perhaps, it can be said that mirror working has no place in this construction where the whole ornaments and the arts inspired by European countries and Russia exist. In tables 5, mirror working is described.

![Image](image1.jpg)

**Fig. 12. Detailed Mirror Work with Trimming Ornaments of Divankhane’s Main Salon**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Mirror Working</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mas’udieh Complex</strong></td>
<td>Ceiling of mirror saloon with Iranian ornaments belonging to Qajar period with an influence of western designs are mirror worked. Some designs of mirror working are also seen around the transcript of Divankhane corridor. Also around the cornice of Divanhkane, some designs are seen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qavam Building</strong></td>
<td>It is worked in Pahlavi and IRI period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wood Ornamentations**

A combination of ornamental arts including fretwork, mosaic, and woodcarving using colored glasses in the art of spacing; colorful sashes, gilt woodcarving doors and also fretwork lines has created Mas’udieh complex. “In this section, ceilings of sycamore wood are made inside each other by bolt method”\(^4\). The inner view and light of the space are ornamented using the instrumentation of sashes and colorful glasses” (Zarine, 2005, P. 180). Two-, three- and five-door spaces are of Qajar ornaments characters done in the constructions of the complex including Sayyid Javadi basement with a combination of sycamore and poplar woods (Pajuheh, 2005, p. 44). Inlaid art also showed off in the walls mirror saloon which unfortunately was ruined because of fire (Ibid, 134). In tables 6, buildings wood workings are compared.
Sashes are among the main components of Iranian architecture. Primary sashes were executed in rectangular or squared space. And in Qajar period, due to the European architecture influence, some changes made in it and they were arched on top. Pairing of some sashes has also been among the other changes (Khorshid construction, 2012, P. 89). It seems that the arch form of sashes is also inspired by European architecture style (Fig. 13). Anyway, there are two sashes at the ground floor of Mas’udieh complex façade entrance which can be considered as the most genuine and oldest sashes of the complex in terms of both design and executive fineness’s. «These two sashes are located in the space between the entrance and two harelips on the sides. Roundel designs on top of the sashes are executed correctly and classically from Islamic designs which form desirable combination. Type of painting and order of colors also show a type of genuineness in them» (Ibid, 24).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>Woodworking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mas’udieh Complex</strong></td>
<td>Sashes are changed into crescent form, knot doors and metalwork of Divankhane and Sofrehkhan constructions and sash of façade construction with fretted line of Divankhane are the wood ornaments of the complex. Some round windows are seen in fretted and baroque style.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qavam Building</strong></td>
<td>Fretwork and woodwork technique with Iranian-Western designs are seen in woodworks. Shape of lines and flooring of the space is worked in Russian style</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13. Linear Design of Two Sashes at Mas’udieh’s Divanhane’s Building (Khorshid construction, 2011)  
Fig. 14. Windows of Exterior Views at Qavam Building
Qavam building windows are made in a different way. The character of neoclassical style is the use of dual windows in the construction. Behind the glassy windows, wood doors are fitted so that coordination of light and heat inside construction become possible. In other hand, Ms. Qaeiny believes that these are also known as Iranian and Venice windows in architectural culture. Form-wise, they can be ascribed to sashes but application-wise the use of colourful glasses on inner doors is considered as the main components. Heavy trimmings of top sashes at Mas’udieh complex are replaced with bricklaying simple designs on top of Qavam building windows.

This style of triangular ornaments on top of the windows is used many times in the constructions of Renaissance and then in Baroque period. In spaces connecting between the saloons of Divankhane and Sofrekhane constructions also the same sashes are used an example of which we can see relating the main saloon to the rooms of first floor of Qavam building (Fig. 14). Beside simplicity, fretted Islamic volutes have endowed the space special beauty against colored ground. The shape of the top half of the door is not different from sashes. Just instead of knotting and colorful glasses, a fretted combination with one-colored glass is used. The window worked in the style of colorful fretted glasses in most Qajar constructions of the second period including Mas’udieh Divankhane and Sofrekhane reminds us Gothic period constructions and then Renaissance and Baroque periods. These circle-shaped forms do not exist in Qavam building and only triangular simpler ornaments are seen on top of the windows (Fig. 15).
Lambekubi in ceiling of Divankhane, Sofrehkhane and Sayyid Javadi constructions of Mas’udieh complex is a different type of woodworks. In Sayyid Javadi construction a design of Iranian knots called Madakhel-Hasht and Sabunak is worked (Right top of Fig. 10). Lambekubi is also seen in the ceiling of Qavam building in the second floor rooms (Fig. 16). The ceiling of the rooms is presented more simply and does not show a specific design. Wood lines are another ornamental element seen in Mas’udieh construction Divankhane and Qavam building corridors. Lines of Divankhane construction are in the form of a geometric divergence executed on wood by fretting technique. Based on Khorshid construction report; “inside the construction is ornamented by precut woods. The amount of coating and paint existing over them have vanished their ornamental quality and design. Evidently, designs are in the form of precut pieces completing a design by being put together (Khorshid Construction, 2012, P. 93).” In Qavam building the lines are woodworked differently (Fig. 17). Its designs are in accordance with woodworked doors. In Armitage museum, in Russia, shapes of lines are the same yet the material is not determined, therefore it is possible to say that Qavam staircase style is Russian. In 18th and 19th centuries in Russian constructions, this style of stairs was executed.

In Qavam building, woodworking was used for connecting the spaces which in Russian art trimming had the main role in ornamenting inner space. Of course, the floor of Qavam building is also carpeted. Using wooden flooring has not been common before Qajarid period and all of them are carpeted by Iranian handmade carpets. As Mas’udieh is also floored using mosaic while it was carpeted before. Using wood in the ornaments of Russian palaces saloons where caret is an indispensable part of interior space is seen in all the saloons. “Parquet floor of George saloon of great Kremlin palace is an example where about 20 different types of woods are used there (Aleksandrov, 1984, P. 46).”

Fig. 17. Wood Lines of Mas’udieh’s Divankhane and Qavam Building

CONCLUSION

Answering the first two questions of the study regarding the qualities and ornamental styles inside Mas’udieh complex and Qavam al-Saltaneh building built in the second and fourth architectural periods of Qajar, following results are gained after reviewing each of the components: Mas’udieh complex has applied Baroque architecture special quality in terms of plan and composition and integrated it with introvert Iranian architecture. After splendid Naserid architecture period, the style is demonstrated at Qavam construction except the matter that the construction plan is fully evolved and has gained a fully European character.

Mas’udieh constructions have made all attempts to apply exterior view trimming. So, introvert Iranian architecture has lost its Iranian identity. Trimming in choke of the main constructions are only seen in Iranian-Western style. Among these, Mushirolmolki construction basement has trimming in all its parts which is taken from Iranian and European ornaments. In Qavam building, trimming is categorized into three periods. Qavam period trimming has fully European quality and completely bold, based on Russian palace trimming. However, being influenced by Baroque and Rococo styles in ornamenting the interior view, there are common points between them.

The shape of sashes in Qajar period is transformed into European windows, the most superior of which is in
European constructions in Baroque period. Interior doors of Mas’udieh complex are made of knots and colorful glasses in the style of sashes and a different example of which is seen in Qavam building. These building doors are worked in Iranian and Venice style which remind us of Russian constructions in Baroque style. Wood flooring and wood lines of the construction are also influenced by Russian constructions.

The objective of the study was to compare between ornamental arts components and examining the probable effects of two powerful sources like Russian and European architecture. The components were examined and the study hypothesis was verified, so that some European architecture qualities and in particular trends of Baroque and Rococo have affected Mas’udieh construction. The quality evolved via being influenced by a country like Russia. The Baroque, Rococo, and Neoclassical trends had also a wide effect on the ornamental structure inside Qavam building. Comparison between these buildings manifested ornamental arts changes during end of Qajarid era.
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ENDNOTES

1- current Khorshid construction

2- current Abgineh museum


4- Quoted from Azade Hasanein, (Thursday, 2nd June, 2002), “beautiful but anonymous”, Iran, 8yr, 2132, p. 11.

5- Admin as traction office.

6- Dining room.

7- A saloon with a small pool in center that mostly used
in spring and summer.

8- A building with two floors that has ornaments of Qajar and Pahlavi era.

9- Another building in Mas‘udieh garden with several rooms and ornaments.

10- Greatest Qajar palace in Panzdah-Khordad Street, Tehran.

11- A place by Naser-al din shah in north of Tehran.


13- The door of this part of construction was closed and it was impossible to take photo (Author, 2012)

14- Lambekubi